NATURAL PRODUCTS

Absolute Configuration and ¹H NMR Characterization of Rosmaridiphenol Diacetate

Marcelo A. Muñoz,[†] Nury Perez-Hernandez,[‡] Mariano W. Pertino,[§] Guillermo Schmeda-Hirschmann,[§] and Pedro Joseph-Nathan^{*,⊥}

[†]Instituto de Ciencias Químicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 567, Valdivia, Chile

[‡]Programa Institucional de Biomedicina Molecular, Escuela Nacional de Medicina y Homeopatía, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México, D. F., 07320 México

[§]Instituto de Química de Recursos Naturales, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 747, Talca, Chile

¹Departamento de Química, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Apartado 14-740, México, D. F., 07000 México

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The correction of patented structure 1 of rosmaridiphenol, an antioxidant isolated from rosemary, *Rosmarinus officinalis*, was made recently. The correct structure is proposed as 11,12-dihydroxy-8,11,13-icetexatrien-1-one (2a) based on 2D NMR data. In order to further support the structure, this work reports the single-crystal X-ray analysis, the complete ¹H NMR assignment by full spin-spin simulation, and the absolute configuration of the diacetate 2b derived via vibrational circular dicroism measurements in comparison with density functional theory calculated data.



The patented structure 1 of rosmaridiphenol,¹ an antioxidant isolated from popularly used rosemary,² Rosmarinus officinalis L. (Lamiaceae), was recently revised on the basis of 2D NMR data to structure 2a.³ Due to the wide use of rosemary as reported in Wikipedia, it is imperative that the structure for rosmaridiphenol is correct. Additional evidence to support the structure 2a was deemed necessary, and thus the preparation of rosmaridiphenol diacetate (2b) was carried out for this purpose. Herein, we provide single-crystal X-ray data, absolute configuration determination by vibrational circular dicroism (VCD), and full ¹H NMR assignment using spin-spin simulation in support of structure 2b.

The relative configuration of **2a**, established by NMR techniques,³ was confirmed by X-ray crystal analysis of diacetylated analogue **2b**. According to the X-ray results (Figure 1), the cyclohexanone and cycloheptene rings are in chair conformations, whereas the orientation of the two acetyl groups is *anti* to one another. Additionally, the orientation of the isopropyl group is defined by the C-12–C-13–C-15–H-15 torsion angle of -16.9° , placing the methyl groups far from one of the acetyl groups. The crystal data for **2b** are summarized in the Experimental Section, and the fractional atom coordinates are given in the Supporting Information.

The absolute configuration of **2b** was deduced by comparison of the experimental VCD spectrum with the calculated spectrum, generated using density functional theory⁴ (DFT), with the experimental VCD spectrum. This methodology has proven useful for absolute configuration assignment of natural



Figure 1. Single-crystal X-ray structure of 2b.

products.⁵ The molecular model was constructed using the single-crystal X-ray atom coordinates and subjected to a full minimization routine employing molecular mechanics (MMFF) using the Spartan'04 package (Wavefunction, Irvine, CA, USA). The energy value was monitored as the convergence criterion to yield the global minimum energy value of 80.3 kcal/mol. This conformer was used as the starting point in a Monte Carlo search, which afforded 52 conformations within a 10 kcal/mol

Received: December 6, 2011 Published: March 16, 2012



energy window. DFT single-point energy values of all conformations were calculated at the B3LYP/DGDZVP level of theory, using the Gaussian'03W program (Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA), which afforded only 11 conformations in a 4 kcal/mol range, accounting for 99.7% of the corresponding Boltzmann distribution. Geometry optimization followed by vibrational calculations afforded free energy values for these 11 conformers, which in turn showed that only the five conformers I-V of 2b (Table 1) represent 99.3% of the conformational distribution (Figure 2). These five conformations showed the same disposition of the polycyclic atoms and only differ in the orientations of the isopropyl and acetate groups. The vibrational calculations also produced IR and VCD intensities for each of the five selected conformations, which were used to obtain the corresponding Boltzmann-weighted spectra according to the free energy derived populations. Computer comparison of the calculated VCD and IR frequencies, using the CompareVOA (BioTools Co., Jupiter, FL, USA) software, allowed calculation of an anharmonicity (anH) factor of 0.973 and an enantiomeric similarity index (ESI) of 58.3. The good agreement (Figure 3) between experimental and theoretical VCD spectra permits the absolute configuration assignment of **2b** as (5*S*,10*R*)-11,12-diacetoxy-8,11,13-icetexatrien-1-one, which directly correlates with natural product 2a.

The experimental ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b** (lower trace, Figure 4) exhibited the presence of the isopropyl group, the aromatic proton, and the geminal methyl groups for the icetexane skeleton at chemical shifts very close to those described for natural product **2a**.³ Additionally, the methyl groups of two *O*-acetyl groups resonated at δ 2.29 and 2.30. A gHSQC experiment was used to identify the hydrogen atoms on each methylene group. Thus, the methylene hydrogens α to the carbonyl group (Figure 5) showed a doublet of doublets of



Figure 2. Minimum energy DFT structures of 2b.



Figure 3. Experimental (top) and calculated (bottom) VCD spectra of 2b.

doublets at δ 2.50 and a signal overlapped with one acetyl group at δ 2.30, both correlating with C-2 at δ 38.2. The vicinal coupling constant predicted by the Altona equation,⁶ in agreement with the presence of two large (J_{gem} and J_{2ax3ax}) and one small coupling constant (J_{2ax3eq}) in the spin system at δ

Table 1. Molecular Mechanics Relative Energies, Molecular Mechanics Abundances, and DFT Thermochemical Parameters and Abundances of the Five More Stable Conformers of Rosmaridiphenol Diacetate $(2b)^a$

conformer of 2b	$\Delta E_{\mathrm{MMFF}}{}^{b}$	p_{MMFF}^{c}	$\Delta E_{ m sp}{}^d$	p_{sp}^{c}	$\Delta H_{298'}{}^{e_i f_i g}$	$p_{\Delta H}^{c}$	$\Delta G_{298}^{e,f}$	$p_{\Delta G}{}^{h}$
Ι	0.00	72.4	0.00	56.6	0.00	68.2	0.00	55.6
II	1.13	10.7	1.42	5.1	0.89	15.2	0.22	38.5
III	1.63	4.6	0.53	23.2	1.29	7.8	1.67	3.3
IV	1.18	9.9	1.32	6.0	1.33	7.2	2.19	1.4
V	2.22	1.7	2.61	0.7	2.24	1.6	2.27	1.2

^{*a*}Conformers are ordered according to their DFT free energy relative abundances. ^{*b*}Molecular mechanics energy of conformers obtained from a Monte Carlo stochastic search, in kcal/mol relative to **2b-I** with $E_{\text{MMFF}} = 80.34$ kcal/mol. ^{*c*}Population in % calculated from molecular mechanics energies, DFT single-point energies, and DFT enthalpies. ^{*d*}Single-point energies relative to conformer **2b-I** with $E_{\text{sp}} = -1309.987$ 492 au. ^{*e*}Thermal enthalpies (ΔH_{298}) and thermal free energies (ΔG_{298}) in kcal/mol relative to conformer **2b-I** and calculated at 298 K and 1 atm. ^{*f*}For conformer **2b-I** the absolute values are $H_{298} = -1309.590$ 144 and $G_{298} = -1309.683$ 231 au. ^{*g*} ΔE_{298} values equal to ΔH_{298} in all conformations. ^{*h*}Final DFT population in % calculated from ΔG_{298} values.



Figure 4. Full ¹H NMR spin-spin analysis by PERCH iteration at 500 MHz of **2b**. The lower trace is the experimental and the upper trace the spin-spin simulated spectrum.



Figure 5. Individual comparison of experimental (blue) and calculated (red) plots for some hydrogens of 2b.

2.50 (Table 2), allows its assignment to the axial C-2 hydrogen. Consequently the overlapped signal at δ 2.30 is assigned to the equatorial hydrogen. Similarly, for the pair of C-6 hydrogens two multiplets at δ 2.15 and 1.30 were associated with the carbon at δ 28.9. The low-frequency signal has one small (J_{6ax7eq}) and three large $(J_{gem}, J_{6ax7ax}, J_{6ax5ax})$ coupling constants and corresponds to the axial hydrogen. In the same sense, the doublet of doubles at δ 3.50 showing one large (J_{gem}) and one small $(J_{20eq10ax})$ coupling constant was assigned to the equatorial hydrogen at C-20 (δ 25.5) and the overlapped signal at δ 2.19 to the axial hydrogen. For the remaining C-3 and C-7 methylene groups, the identification of axial and equatorial hydrogens was not immediately evident due to signal overlap. For C-3 two multiplets around δ 1.70 show correlation with the carbon atom at δ 41.8, and for C-7 two doublets of doublets of doublets at δ 2.79 were identified for the carbon at δ 34.6. Finally, inspection of the methine hydrogens reveals the signals at δ 1.61 and 2.16 as multiplets due to

coupling of axial hydrogens at C-5 (δ 57.4) and C-10 (δ 49.7), respectively, and the adjacent methylene hydrogens.

The ¹H NMR spin-spin analysis of **2b** was performed using the PERCH software (Perch Solutions, Kuopio, Finland). This methodology allows analysis of complex high-resolution ¹H NMR spectra, through iterative minimization of the simulated and experimental spectra differences.⁷ Thus, the analysis determines chemical shifts and coupling constants for all hydrogen atoms in a molecule and has been recently applied for the complete ¹H NMR assignments of some natural products.⁸

The free induction decay data for 2b at 500 MHz were used for the preparation of the experimental frequency-dependent spectrum, while the minimum energy DFT//B3LYP/ DGDZVP conformer I (Figure 2) was used for the prediction and generation of the theoretical spectrum in the PERCH suite. Before iteration, chemical shift values from the experimental spectrum and vicinal coupling constants derived from Altona estimation were incorporated in the parameter table of the editor module (PMS) in order to get a good calculated spectrum approximation. This spectrum was submitted to iteration using the total-line-shape-fitting method (T-mode) in the PERCH iterator. The observed and simulated spectra showed good agreement, with a root-mean-square deviation of 0.060 Hz, providing chemical shifts and coupling constants with a high degree of accuracy. The results for the ¹H NMR full spin-spin analysis of 2b are summarized in Table 2 and Figures 4 and 5. Although the PERCH calculation provides the chemical shifts with six significant figures, the experimental 500 MHz spectrum was acquired with a resolution better than 0.4 Hz, and therefore chemical shifts with three digits after the decimal point constitute a proper description. Table 2 reports PERCHderived J values with two figures after the decimal point, as in similar cases.^{8a} The full spin-spin analysis permitted assignment of all coupling constants and chemical shift values. Even though H-2_{eq} appears as an overlapped signal with one acetyl group, the spin-spin simulation procedure permits determination of all spectroscopic values since this is the only overlapped signal.

In conclusion, the additional information given in the present work provides convincing evidence for the structural assignment of rosmaridiphenol diacetate as **2b**.

EXPERMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. The melting point was determined on a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus and is uncorrected. Optical rotations were determined in CHCl₃ on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. The UV spectrum was determined on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 12 UV/vis spectrophotometer. The VCD and IR spectra were acquired on a BioTools Dual PEM ChiralIR FT-VCD spectrophotometer. The 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum was measured on a Varian system 500 in CDCl₃ solution containing TMS as the internal standard at room temperature (22 °C). The 500 MHz data were acquired with a digital resolution of 0.14 Hz/point and a spectral width of 9.38 kHz. Fourier transformation was applied with zero filling to 64k points. The ¹³C NMR spectrum and gHSQC measurement were performed on a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer using CDCl₃ solutions containing TMS as the internal standard. The LR-MS was recorded on an AB SCIEX 3200 Q TRAP mass spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 (Aldrich, 230-400 mesh).

Rosmaridiphenol Diacetate (2b). A solution of **2a** (80 mg, 0.253 mmol) in pyridine (2 mL) was cooled in an ice bath, and acetic anhydride (1 mL) was added while stirring. The solution was kept at room temperature for 24 h and cooled in an ice-bath, and after addition of water (2 mL) the reaction mixture was extracted with

Table 2. Chemical Shifts, Coupling Orders, and J-Values of 2b Determined by Spectroscopic Iteration Using the PERCH Software^a

atom	δ	multiplicity	J	$J_{ m altona}$	dihedral angle			
H-2 _{eq}	2.301	ddd	$J_{gem} = -13.05, J_{3ax} = 5.72, J_{3eq} = 2.38$	$J_{3ax} = 4.1, J_{3eq} = 3.1$	$\Phi_{3ax} = 55.4, \ \Phi_{3eq} = -60.3$			
H-2 _{ax}	2.504	ddd	$J_{3ax} = 15.33, J_{gem} = -13.05, J_{3eq} = 5.93$	$J_{3ax} = 13.9, J_{3eq} = 3.2$	$\Phi_{3ax} = 175.0, \ \Phi_{3eq} = 59.3$			
H-3 _{ax}	1.672	ddd	$J_{2ax} = 15.33, J_{gem} = -13.01, J_{2eq} = 5.72$	$J_{2ax} = 13.9, J_{2eq} = 4.1$	$\Phi_{2ax} = 175.0, \ \Phi_{2eq} = 55.4$			
H-3 _{eq}	1.712	ddd	$J_{gem} = -13.01, J_{2ax} = 5.93, J_{2eq} = 2.38$	$J_{2ax} = 3.2, J_{2eq} = 3.1$	$\Phi_{2eq} = -60.3, \ \Phi_{3eq} = 59.3$			
H-5	1.606	ddd	$J_{10} = 15.12, J_{6ax} = 10.90, J_{6eq} = 2.47$	$J_{10} = 12.9, J_{6ax} = 12.4, J_{6eq} = 2.4$	$\Phi_{10} = -177.1$, $\Phi_{6ax} = 179.0$, $\Phi_{6eq} = -65.6$			
H-6 _{eq}	2.148	dddd	$J_{gem} = -13.47, J_{7eq} = 7.89, J_5 = 2.47, J_{7ax} = 1.15$	$J_{7eq} = 7.7, J_{7ax} = 0.7, J_5 = 2.4$	$\Phi_{7eq} = -36.8, \ \Phi_{7ax} = 78.3, \ \Phi_5 = -65.6$			
H-6 _{ax}	1.305	dddd	$J_{gem} = -13.47, J_{7ax} = 11.69, J_5 = 10.90, J_{7eq} = 1.21$	$J_{7ax} = 12.9, J_{7eq} = 0.8, J_5 = 12.4$	$\Phi_5 = 179.0, \ \Phi_{7ax} = -167.5, \ \Phi_{7eq} = 77.4$			
H-7 _{ax}	2.792	ddd	$J_{gem} = -14.44, J_{6ax} = 11.69, J_{6eq} = 1.15$	$J_{6ax} = 12.9, J_{6eq} = 0.7$	$\Phi_{6ax} = -167.5, \ \Phi_{6eq} = 78.3$			
H-7 _{eq}	2.788	ddd	$J_{gem} = -14.44, J_{6eq} = 7.89, J_{6ax} = 1.21$	$J_{6eq} = 7.7, J_{6ax} = 0.8,$	$\Phi_{6eq} = -36.8, \ \Phi_{6ax} = 77.4$			
H-10	2.188	ddd	$J_5 = 15.12, J_{20eq} = 1.92, J_{20ax} = 9.88$	$J_5 = 12.9, J_{20eq} = 1.0, J_{20ax} = 11.0$	$\Phi_5 = -177.1 \ \Phi_{20eq} = -85.8, \ \Phi_{20ax} = 160.1$			
H-14	6.924	S						
H-15	2.934	qq	$J_{16} = 6.90, J_{17} = 6.90$					
CH ₃ -16	1.209	d	$J_{15} = 6.90$					
CH3-17	1.168	d	$J_{15} = 6.90$					
CH3-18	1.020	S						
CH3-19	0.988	S						
H-20 _{ax}	2.159	dd	$J_{gem} = -14.79, J_{10} = 9.88$	$J_{10} = 11.0$	$\Phi_{10} = 160.1$			
H-20 _{eq}	3.551	dd	$J_{gem} = -14.79, J_{10} = 1.92$	$J_{10} = 1.0$	$\Phi_{10} = -85.8$			
AcO	2.306	S						
AcO	2.286	S						
'Dihedral angles and vicinal J-values determined using the Altona equation are also shown.								

EtOAc (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with 10% HCl (3 \times 20 mL), water (20 mL), a 5% solution of KHCO₃ (1 \times 20 mL), and water again, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and evaporated on a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Elution with hexanes/EtOAc (4:1) afforded the title compound (77 mg, 76%), and subsequent recrystallization from MeOH gave colorless needles; mp 158–160 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}$ +88.0, $[\alpha]_{578}$ +92.6, $[\alpha]_{546}$ +106.0, $[\alpha]_{436}$ +193.2 (*c* 0.5, CHCl₃); UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}(\log \epsilon)$ 201.2 (4.6) and 216.7 (3.8) nm; IR (CDCl₃) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1764, 1704, 1210, 1185 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) see Table 2; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 20.0 (C-18, CH₃), 20.3 (OAc, CH₃), 20.4 (OAc, CH₃), 22.8 (iPr, CH₃), 23.0 (iPr, CH₃), 25.5 (C-20, CH₂), 27.4 (C-15, CH), 28.9 (C-6, CH₂), 29.4 (C-19, CH₃), 34.1 (C-4,C), 34.6 (C-7, CH₂), 38.2 (C-2, CH₂), 41.8 (C-3, CH₂), 49.7 (C-10, CH), 57.4 (C-5, CH), 123.1 (C-14, CH), 131.3 (C-8, C), 138.1 (C-13, C), 138.9 (C-12, C), 139.9 (C-11, C); 142.5 (C-9, C), 168.7 (OAc, CO), 168.8 (OAc, CO); 211.5 (C-1); EIMS m/z 400 (M⁺ + 1), 341 (100), 95 (24): HREIMS m/z 401.2323 (M⁺ + H) (calcd for C₂₄H₃₂O₅ + H, 401.2328)

Single-Crystal X-ray Analysis of Rosmaridiphenol Diacetate (2b). A crystal measuring $0.38 \times 0.36 \times 0.34$ mm was mounted on a Nonius Bruker CAD4 diffractometer equipped with graphitemonochromated Cu K α radiation, λ = 1.54184 Å. The crystal was monoclinic, space group $P2_1$, with cell dimensions a = 8.130(1) Å, b =13.484(3) Å, c = 10.230(2) Å, $\beta = 92.60(4)^{\circ}$, V = 1120.4(4) Å³, $\rho_{cole} =$ 1.187 g/cm³ for Z = 2, $C_{24}H_{32}O_{5}$, MW = 400.50, and F(000) = 432 e. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELX97. For the structural refinement, the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and the hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically. A total of 1905 reflections were collected within a θ range of 5.45-59.95° for $-9 \le h \le 9, 0 \le k \le 14, 0 \le 1 \le 11$. The unique reflections were 1713, the observed reflections were 1688, and final discrepancy indices, refining 285 parameters, were $R_F = 3.4\%$ and $R_w = 9.6\%$. The final difference Fourier map was essentially featureless, the highest residual peaks having a density of 0.151 e/Å³. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under CCDC deposition number 867863. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 IEZ, UK. Fax: +44-(0)1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

VCD Analysis and Calculations. A solution of 2b (5.2 mg) in 150 μ L of CDCl₃ was placed in a BaF₂ cell with a path length of 100 μ m, and data were acquired at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ during 3 h. Geometry optimizations for rosmaridiphenol diacetate (2b) were carried out using the MMX force field9 calculations in the Spartan'04W package (Wavefunction, Irvine, CA, USA). The conformational search was made with the Monte Carlo protocol¹⁰ obtaining a total of 52 conformers in a 10 kcal/mol energy window. These conformations where subjected to single-point energy calculations at the DFT// B3LYP/DGDZVP level of theory, affording 11 relevant conformers in a 4 kcal/mol range and accounting for 99.7% of the total Boltzmann population. The geometries of these 11 DFT conformers were optimized at the B3LYP/DGDZVP level of theory, and the corresponding free energies were calculated using the same level of theory, which were used to produce a more accurate Boltzmann distribution, showing that only five conformations represented 99.3% of the total population. Conformers I-V preserve the six- and seven-membered ring in chair conformations with changes in rotation of the two acetyl groups. The vibrational frequencies (IR and VCD), dipole strengths, and rotational strengths for these five conformations, also obtained in the same calculations, were used to produce a calculated VCD spectrum obtained by weighing the individual spectra according to the free energy Boltzmann distribution. This spectrum was compared with the experimental VCD spectrum after application of an anharmonicity correction factor of 0.973, which was estimated in silico using the CompareVOA software¹¹ (BioTools, Inc., Jupiter, FL, USA, 2010). All DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 03W program (Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA). For calculations of vicinal coupling constants, the dihedral angles of conformer I of **2b** (Figure 2) were used in the Altona equation.

¹H NMR Full Spin–Spin Analysis. The spectroscopic analysis of 2b was performed using the PERCH software (PERCH Solutions Ltd., Kuopio, Finland). The ¹H NMR experimental data at 500 MHz were edited in the preparation module (PAC) of the PERCH shell. The minimum energy DFT//B3LYP/DGDZVP conformer I of 2b was imported to the editor structure module for prediction of a calculated spectrum. Before iteration of the calculated spectrum, the known coupling constant values for 2b in the parameter table of the editor module (PMS) were incorporated, as well as some vicinal coupling constants obtained from the Altona estimation. Thus, the calculated spectrum was submitted to iteration using the total-line-shape-fitting

Journal of Natural Products

mode from the PERCH iterator. The root-mean-square deviation was 0.060 Hz after 30 iteration cycles.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

X-ray fractional atom coordinates for 2b. Atom coordinates for low-energy conformers I-V of 2b. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +52-55-5747-7112. Fax: +52-55-5747-7137. E-mail: pjoseph@nathan.cinvestav.mx.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from CONACYT-Mexico grant 152994 and PBCT grant PSD-50 to M. W. Pertino is gratefully acknowledged. This work was funded by Programa de Investigación en Productos Bioactivos, Universidad de Talca.

REFERENCES

(1) Chang, S. S.; Ho, C.-T.; Houlihan, C. M. U.S. Patent 4,638,095, 1987.

(2) Houlihan, C. M.; Ho, C. T.; Chang, S. S. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1984, 61, 1036-1039.

(3) Pertino, M. W.; Schmeda-Hirschmann, G. Planta Med. 2010, 76, 629–632.

(4) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.

(5) (a) Yanan, H.; Wang, B.; Dukor, R. K.; Nafie, L. A. Appl. Spectrosc. 2011, 65, 699-723. (b) Manríquez-Torres, J. J.; Torres-Valencia, J. M.; Gómez-Hurtado, M. A.; Motilva, V.; García-Mauriño, S.; Ávila, J.; Talero, E.; Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M.; Joseph-Nathan, P. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1946-1951. (c) Velázquez-Jiménez, R.; Torres-Valencia, J. M.; Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M.; Hernández-Hernández, J. D.; Román-Marín, L. U.; Manríquez-Torres, J. J.; Gómez-Hurtado, M. A.; Valdez-Calderón, A.; Motilva, V.; García-Mauriño, S.; Talero, E.; Avila, J.; Joseph-Nathan, P. Phytochemistry 2011, 72, 2237-2243. (d) Muñoz, M. A.; Urzúa, A.; Echeverría, J.; Modak, B.; Joseph-Nathan, P. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2011, 6, 759-762. (e) Amesty, Á.; Burgueño-Tapia, E.; Joseph-Nathan, P.; Ravelo, Á. G.; Estévez-Braun, A. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1061-1065. (f) Gómez-Hurtado, M. A.; Torres-Valencia, J. M.; Manríquez-Torres, J.; del Río, R. E.; Motilva, V.; García-Mauriño, S.; Ávila, J.; Talero, E.; Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M.; Joseph-Nathan, P. Phytochemistry 2011, 72, 409-414.

(6) (a) Haasnoot, C. A. G.; de Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; Altona, C. *Tetrahedron* **1980**, *36*, 2783–2792. (b) Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M.; Zepeda, L. G.; Joseph-Nathan, P. *Tetrahedron Comp. Methodol.* **1990**, *3*, 113–118.

(7) Laatikainen, R.; Niemitz, M.; Weber, U.; Sundelin, J.; Hassinen, T.; Vepsalainen, J. J. Magn. Reson. **1996**, 120, 1.

(8) (a) Molina-Salinas, G. M.; Rivas-Galindo, V. M.; Said-Fernández, S.; Lankin, D. C.; Muñoz, M. A.; Joseph-Nathan, P.; Pauli, G. F.; Waksman, N. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1842–1850. (b) Scher, J. M.; Schinkovitz, A.; Zapp, J.; Wang, Y.; Franzblau, S. G.; Becker, H.; Lankin, D. C.; Pauli, G. F. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 656–663. (c) Inui, T; Wang, Y.; Nikolic, D.; Smith, D. C.; Franzblau, S. G.; Pauli, G. F. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 656–663. (c) Inui, T; Wang, Y.; Nikolic, D.; Smith, D. C.; Franzblau, S. G.; Pauli, G. F. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 563–567. (d) Niemitz, M.; Laatikainen, R.; Chen, S. N.; Kleps, R.; Kozikowski, A. P.; Pauli, G. F. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2007, 45, 878–882. (e) Kolehmainen, E.; Laihia, K.; Laatikainen, R.; Vepsalainen, J.; Niemitz, M.; Suontamo, R. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1997, 35, 463–467.

(9) Gajewski, J. J.; Gilbert, K. E.; McKelvey, J. Adv. Mol. Mod. 1990, 2, 65–92.

(10) Chang, G.; Guida, W. C.; Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4379-4386.

(11) Debie, E.; De Gussem, E.; Dukor, R. K.; Herrebout, W.; Nafie, L.; Bultinck, P. Chem. Phys. Chem. 2011, 12, 1542-1549.